Case 3689

# Cognettia Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 (Annelida, Oligochaeta, ENCHYTRAEIDAE): proposed precedence over *Euenchytraeus* Bretscher, 1906 and *Chamaedrilus* Friend, 1913

## Rüdiger M. Schmelz

ECT Oekotoxikologie GmbH, Böttgerstr. 2–14, 65439 Flörsheim, Germany University of A Coruña, Science Faculty, Department of Animal Biology, Plant Biology, and Ecology, Rua da Fraga 10, 15008 A Coruña, Spain (e-mail: rmschmelz@gmail.com)

## Rut Collado

University of A Coruña, Science Faculty, Department of Animal Biology, Plant Biology, and Ecology, Rua da Fraga 10, 15008 A Coruña, Spain (e-mail: rutco@udc.es)

# Jörg Römbke

ECT Oekotoxikologie GmbH, Böttgerstr. 2–14, 65439 Flörsheim, Germany (e-mail: j-roembke@ect.de)

Abstract. The purpose of this application, under Articles 23.2 and 23.9.3 of the Code, is to conserve the usage of the genus-group name *Cognettia* Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 (ENCHYTRAEIDAE, Oligochaeta, Annelida) for a genus of annelid worms (type species *Pachydrilus sphagnetorum* Vejdovský, 1878 ('1877')). *Cognettia*, a name for a Holarctic genus of terrestrial and semi-aquatic annelid worms, is threatened by two subjective senior synonyms, *Euenchytraeus* Bretscher, 1906 and *Chamaedrilus* Friend, 1913. If these two names are considered synonyms, *Euenchytraeus* will replace *Cognettia*. In 2015 Martinsson et al. adopted the latter course, replacing *Cognettia* by *Chamaedrilus*, and allocated its species between both *Euenchytraeus* and *Chamaedrilus*. *Cognettia* is a widely used name and is well-known in the fields of soil biology, ecology, and ecotoxicology, and we propose it be given precedence over *Chamaedrilus* and *Euenchytraeus*, which had not been used as valid for almost a century.

186

**Keywords.** Nomenclature; taxonomy; Annelida; Clitellata; Oligochaeta; ENCHYTRAEI-DAE; *Cognettia*; *Chamaedrilus*; *Euenchytraeus*; *Cognettia sphagnetorum*; enchytraeid worms; Europe.

1. Vejdovský (1878, p. 304) erected a new species of *Pachydrilus* Claparède, 1861 (ENCHYTRAEIDAE, Oligochaeta, Annelida), *P. sphagnetorum*, based on specimens found in sphagnum bogs in Central Europe. The very brief diagnosis was followed by a description with illustrations in Vejdovský (1879).

#### Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 72(3) September 2015

2. Michaelsen (1889, p. 28) erected the genus *Marionia* and transferred *P. sphagnetorum* to this genus, together with six other previously described speciesgroup taxa. The generic name was later replaced by *Marionina* (Michaelsen in Pfeffer 1890, p. 511) because of the homonymy with *Marionia* Vayssière, 1877 (Mollusca) (Michaelsen, 1900, p. 73). *Marionina* remained without a type species until Brinkhurst (1971, p. 662) designated *Pachydrilus georgianus* Michaelsen, 1888a as type species.

3. Bretscher (1906, p. 673) erected the genus *Euenchytraeus* for a new species of ENCHYTRAEIDAE, collected from soils in the Swiss Alps, that had a character hitherto unknown in the family – nephridia in the head region. The species was named *Euenchytraeus bisetosus* and described in the same paper (Bretscher, 1906). The genus remained monotypic. The genus-group name was used as valid only by Sterling (1908) and Piguet & Bretscher (1913). The latter contribution gave a description of the sexual organs – Bretscher's original account was based on juvenile specimens.

4. Friend (1913a, p. 260) erected the genus *Chamaedrilus* for a species of ENCHYTRAEIDAE collected from soils in England, which he named *Chamaedrilus* chlorophilus. Later, Friend (1919) noted the close similarity of this species with *Marionina sphagnetorum* and transferred *M. sphagnetorum* to *Chamaedrilus*, together with its subspecies *M. s. glandulosus* (Michaelsen, 1888b). The genus-group name *Chamaedrilus* was used as valid only by the author himself in subsequent publications (Friend, 1913b, 1914, 1919, 1922).

5. The genera Euenchytraeus and Chamaedrilus were soon rejected in several works. Welch (1920, p. 46), in a literature-based revision of the genera of ENCHYTRAEIDAE, dealt with both genera under the heading 'genera dubia': he considered Chamaedrilus to be indistinguishable from Marionina, and Euenchytraeus impossible to evaluate due to the lack of information on the sexual organ, in apparent ignorance of Piguet & Bretscher (1913). Černosvitov (1937a, p. 205), after reinvestigation of voucher material of Chamaedrilus chlorophilus from Friend's collection, considered Chamaedrilus chlorophilus to be synonymous with Marionina sphagnetorum at the species and genus levels, confirming a previous conjecture by Delphy (1921). Later the same year, in a comprehensive literature-based revision of species and genera of ENCHYTRAEIDAE, Černosvitov (1937b, p. 277) placed Euenchytraeus in synonymy with Marionina and considered the type species of Euenchytraeus - classified as 'Pachydrilus (Marionina) bisetosus (Bretscher)' - a 'species dubia' (Černosvitov, 1937b, p. 293). Thereafter, the generic names Chamaedrilus and Euenchytraeus were no longer considered or discussed in the literature until very recently (Schmelz & Collado, 2010; Martinsson et al., 2015a). 6. Nielsen & Christensen (1959, p. 41), in their monograph and revision of European ENCHYTRAEIDAE, erected Cognettia with the type species 'C. sphagnetorum (Vejd.)', that is, Pachydrilus sphagnetorum Vejdovský, 1878. The subjective synonymy of Cognettia with Chamaedrilus went unnoticed. Four other nominal species of Marionina were also included in Cognettia. The nomenclatural and taxonomic framework established in Nielsen and Christensen (1959) was widely accepted by taxonomists and non-taxonomists; their 1959 monograph, followed by two supplements (Nielsen & Christensen, 1961, 1963) launched a new era of research with enchytraeids, particularly in the field of soil ecology.

7. Enchytraeid specimens with head nephridia – discovered in soils in Siberia (Piper et al., 1982; Christensen & Dózsa-Farkas, 1999) and in soils in the Austrian Alps (Bauer, 1993) – were described as *Cognettia piperi* Christensen & Dósza-Farkas, 1999 and *Cognettia clarae* Bauer, 1993, respectively. Their apparent similarity with *Euenchytraeus bisetosus* Bretscher, 1906 and hence the concomitant subjective synonymy of *Cognettia* with *Euenchytraeus*, went unnoticed.

8. Schmelz & Collado (2010, p. 80), in a guide to the identification of European non-marine enchytraeid species, recognized that *Cognettia* has two subjective senior synonyms, *Euenchytraeus* and *Chamaedrilus*, and remarked that a preservation of *Cognettia* would require an application to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN). They maintained the name *Cognettia* because of its common use and wide acceptance: until 2010, the genus-group name *Cognettia* had been used as valid in at least 350 scientific publications, most of them of non-taxonomic scope and content (see list of additional references) whereas *Euenchytraeus* and *Chamaedrilus* had not been used since they were judged invalid in 1937 and 1920, respectively.

9. Martinsson et al. (2015a) re-established Chamaedrilus and Euenchytraeus and distributed the species previously included in Cognettia amongst these two genera. The two species with head nephridia (see paragraph 7 above) were assigned to Euenchytraeus, and its type species Euenchytraeus bisetosus (previously a 'species dubia', see para. 5 above) was restored. The rest - Cognettia sphagnetorum included - were included in Chamaedrilus. The species name chlorophilus was revalidated based on combined morphological and molecular studies and the re-investigation of voucher specimens, which the authors considered to be syntypes of Chamaedrilus chlorophilus (Martinsson & Erséus, 2014; Martinsson et al., 2015a); chlorophilus and sphagnetorum were hence no longer considered as synonyms (see paragraph 5 above). Cognettia sphagnetorum auct. was split into four species, two of them new to science, and a neotype for the newly limited concept of Ch. sphagnetorum was designated (Martinsson et al., 2015a). Chamaedrilus sensu Martinsson et al. (2015a, 2015b) comprises 17 nominal species. In contrast, if Chamaedrilus and Euenchytraeus are considered synonyms, the valid name of this genus will be Euenchytraeus, with priority over Chamaedrilus and Cognettia.

10. Cognettia is a long-accepted genus-group name, and is well-known in different

branches of biology and environmental research. This Holarctic genus comprises c. 18 terrestrial or semi-aquatic species of 2.5–25 mm body length that live in the uppermost soil strata. We have counted more than 400 published papers (1959 to the present day) that cite the generic name *Cognettia* as valid (a list of these works is held by the Commission Secretariat). This list would be lengthened by the inclusion of reports and scientific publications in languages other than English or German. The majority of field studies with species lists of ENCHYTRAEIDAE include the name *Cognettia*. Noteworthy is the large body of soil ecological research papers that deal with one species, *Cognettia sphagnetorum*. This mainly European species is very common and abundant in soils that are nutrient poor, yet rich in organic matter, such as boreal forests, temperate heathlands, and moorlands. With densities up to 300,000 ind./m<sup>2</sup> (Peachey, 1963), *C. sphagnetorum* influences ecosystem processes such as decomposition and nutrient cycling (e.g. Maraldo & Holmstrup, 2009 and references therein). Its pivotal role in these habitats is considered similar to that of 'ecosystem

engineers' (Lavelle et al., 1997; Jänsch et al., 2005) – species that directly or indirectly affect the availability of resources to other organisms through modifications of the physical environment. Diverse aspects of the biology of C. sphagnetorum have been investigated, usually related to its role in the soil ecosystem, for example: sensitivity towards contaminants (Salminen & Haimi, 2001; Römbke & Beck, 2012), drought (Holmstrup et al., 2012), forest clear-cutting (Uhía & Briones, 2002), effects on plant growth (Laakso & Setälä, 1999), fungal growth (Hedlund & Augustsson, 1995), soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics (Briones et al., 2007; Carrera et al., 2011; Maraldo et al., 2011), and interactions with other compartments of the soil food web such as lumbricids, microarthropods and nematodes (Huhta et al., 1998; Huhta & Viberg, 1999). Other species of Cognettia are also common but have not been well-studied, e.g. the Holarctic Cognettia glandulosa (Michaelsen, 1888b), recently revised by Martinsson et al. (2015b, therein as Chamaedrilus glandulosus), which is abundant in some North American broadleaf-deciduous forests (Nurminen, 1973) and common in aquatic or semi-aquatic habitats in Europe. The genus name is also known to scientists and non-scientists in the field of soil ecology and forestry whose work is not focused on enchytraeids. Due to the importance of C. sphagnetorum, the genus-group name Cognettia is present in most of the recent soil biology textbooks (e.g. Lavelle & Spain, 2001; Coleman et al., 2004; Bardgett, 2005). In contrast, the names Euenchytraeus and Chamaedrilus have rarely been used after their erection (see paragraphs 3 and 4, above), and they were not used as valid after 1937 and 1920, respectively, until Schmelz & Collado (2010) drew attention to their priority over Cognettia.

11. Adherence to the Principle of Priority (Article 23.1 of the Code) in the case of *Cognettia* will create instability and is in opposition to its intent – to promote stability in the scientific names of animals (Preamble and Article 23.2 of the Code). Especially in view of the wide use of the name in non-taxonomic biological disciplines, *Cognettia* and its valid senior synonym would have to be used in parallel for a long time to avoid confusion. Our application to conserve the long-accepted name *Cognettia* in its accustomed meaning includes both senior synonyms, *Euenchytraeus* and *Chamaedrilus* – because either of them can be used as valid, depending on the taxonomic opinion (see paragraph 9 above).

12. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly

asked:

- (1) to use its plenary power to rule that the genus-group name Cognettia Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 is to be given precedence over:
  - (a) *Euenchytraeus* Bretscher, 1906, whenever they are considered to be synonyms;
  - (b) *Chamaedrilus* Friend, 1913, whenever they are considered to be synonyms;
- (2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the following names:
  - (a) Cognettia Nielsen & Christensen, 1959 (gender: feminine), type species by original designation Pachydrilus sphagnetorum Vejdovský, 1878 with the two endorsements that:
    - (i) it is to be given precedence over *Euenchytraeus* Bretscher, 1906 whenever their type species are placed in the same genus-group taxon;
      (ii) it is to be given precedence over *Chamaedrilus* Friend, 1913, whenever their type species are placed in the same genus-group taxon;

- (b) *Euenchytraeus* Bretscher, 1906 (gender: masculine), type species by monotypy *Euenchytraeus bisetosus* Bretscher, 1906, with the endorsement that it is not to be given precedence over *Cognettia* Nielsen & Christensen, 1959, whenever their type species are placed in the same genus-group taxon;
- (c) Chamaedrilus Friend, 1913 (gender: masculine), type species by monotypy *Chamaedrilus chlorophilus* Friend, 1913, with the endorsement that it is not to be given precedence over *Cognettia* Nielsen & Christensen, 1959, whenever their type species are placed in the same genus-group taxon;
- (3) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the following names:
  - (a) sphagnetorum Vejdovský, 1878, as published in the binomen Pachydrilus sphagnetorum (specific name of the type species of Cognettia Nielsen & Christensen, 1959);
  - (b) chlorophilus Friend, 1913, as published in the binomen Chamaedrilus chlorophilus (specific name of the type species of Chamaedrilus Friend, 1913);
  - (c) bisetosus Bretscher, 1906, as published in the binomen Euenchytraeus bisetosus (specific name of the type species of Euenchytraeus Bretscher, 1906).

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Tarmo Timm and Mark J. Wetzel for critically reviewing a pre-submission draft of this manuscript.

#### References

- Bardgett, R. 2005. The biology of soil. A community and ecosystem approach. 242 pp. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Bauer, R. 1993. Cognettia clarae n. sp. eine neue Enchytraeiden-Art aus dem österreichischen Fichtenwald (Oligochaeta; Enchytraeidae). Linzer Biologische Beiträge, 25: 685–689.
- Bretscher, K. 1906. Über ein neues Enchytraeidengenus. Zoologischer Anzeiger, 29: 672-674.

Briones, M.J.I., Ostle, N.J. & Garnett, M.H. 2007. Invertebrates increase the sensitivity of non-labile soil carbon to climate change. Soil biology and biochemistry, 39: 816–818.

Brinkhurst, R.O. 1971. Family Enchytraeidae. In: Brinkhurst, R.O. & Jamieson, B.G.M. 1971 (Eds.), Aquatic Oligochaeta of the world. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, pp. 654–664.

Carrera, N., Barreal, M.E., Rodeiro, J. & Briones, M.J.I. 2011. Interactive effects of

190

- temperature, soil moisture and enchytraeid activities on C losses from a peatland soil. *Pedobiologia*, **54**: 291–299.
- Černosvitov, L. 1937a. Zur Kenntnis der Enchytraeiden. III. Revision der Friend'schen Enchytraeidentypen. Zoologischer Anzeiger, 117: 191–205.
- Cernosvitov, L. 1937b. System der Enchytraeiden. Bulletin de l'Association Russe pour les Recherches Scientifiques à Prague (section des sciences naturelles et matématiques), 5: 263–295.
- Christensen, B. & Dózsa-Farkas, K. 1999. The enchytraeid fauna of the Palearctic tundra (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae). Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab, Biologiske Skrifter, 52: 1–37.
- Claparède, E. 1861. Recherches anatomiques sur les Annelides, Turbellariés, Opalines et Gregarines observées dans les Hébrides. *Mémoires de la Société de Physique et d'Histoire Naturelle de Genève*, 16: 71–164.
- Coleman, D.C., Crossley, D.A. & Hendrix, P.F. 2004. Fundamentals of soil ecology. 386 pp. Elsevier Academic Press, Amsterdam.
- Delphy, J. 1921. Études sur l'organisation et le développement des lombriciens limicoles thalassophiles. 137 pp. Pillu-Roland, Valognes.

- Friend, H. 1913a. British enchytraeids. V. Species new to science. Journal of the Royal Microscopical Society, London, 1913: 255–271.
- Friend, H. 1913b. Some Jersey oligochaets. The Zoologist, 17: 456–464.
- Friend, H. 1914. British Enchytraeids. VI. New species and revised list. Journal of the Royal Microscopical Society, London, 1914: 128–154.
- Friend, H. 1919. A new British enchytraeid worm. Nature, 104: 174.
- Friend, H. 1922. Irish enchytraeids in the Faroes. The Irish Naturalist's Journal, Dublin, 31: 112–113.
- Hedlund, K. & Augustsson, A. 1995. Effects of enchytraeid grazing on fungal growth and respiration. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 27: 905–909.
- Holmstrup, M., Sorensen, J.G., Maraldo, K., Schmidt, I.K., Mason, S., Tietema, A., Smith, A.R., Emmett, B., Schmelz, R.M., Bataillon, T., Beier, C. & Ehlers, B.K. 2012. Increased frequency of drought reduces species richness of enchytraeid communities in both wet and dry heathland soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 53: 43–49.
- Huhta, V., Sulkava, P. & Viberg, K. 1998. Interactions between enchytraeid (*Cognettia* sphagnetorum), microarthropod and nematode populations in forest soil at different moistures. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 9: 53–58.
- Huhta, V. & Viberg, K. 1999. Competitive interactions between the earthworm *Dendrobaena* octaedra and the enchytraeid *Cognettia sphagnetorum*. *Pedobiologia*, 43: 886–890.
- Jänsch, S., Amorim, M.J. & Römbke, J. 2005. Identification of the ecological requirements of important terrestrial ecotoxicological test species. *Environmental Reviews*, 13: 51-83.
- Laakso, J. & Setälä, H. 1999. Sensitivity of primary production to changes in the architecture of belowground food webs. *Oikos*, 87: 57–64.
- Lavelle, P. & Spain, A.V. 2001. Soil ecology. 653 pp. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Lavelle, P., Bignell, D. & Lepage, M. 1997. Soil function in a changing world: the role of invertebrate ecosystem engineers. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 33: 159–193.
- Maraldo, K., Christensen, B. & Holmstrup, M. 2011. The excretion of ammonium by enchytraeids (*Cognettia sphagnetorum*). Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 43: 991–996.
- Maraldo, K. & Holmstrup, K. 2009. Recovery of enchytraeid populations after severe drought events. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **42**: 227–235.
- Martinsson, S. & Erséus, C. 2014. Cryptic diversity in the well-studied terrestrial worm Cognettia sphagnetorum (Clitellata: Enchytraeidae). Pedobiologia, 57: 27–35.
- Martinsson, S., Rota, E. & Erséus, C. 2015a. Revision of *Cognettia* (Clitellata, Enchytraeidae): reestablishment of *Chamaedrilus* and description of cryptic species in the *sphagnetorum* complex. *Systematics and Biodiversity*, 13: 257–277.
- Martinsson, S., Rota, E. & Erséus, C. 2015b. On the identity of *Chamaedrilus glandulosus* (Michaelsen, 1888) (Clitellata, Enchytraeidae), with the description of a new species. *Zookeys*, 501: 1–14.
- Michaelsen, W. 1888a. Die Oligochaeten von Süd-Georgien. Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen

- Wissenschaftlichen Anstalten, 4–5 (1887–1888): 55–73.
- Michaelsen, W. 1888b. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der deutschen Enchyträiden-fauna. Archiv für Mikroskopische Anatomie, 31: 483–498.
- Michaelsen, W. 1889. Synopsis der Enchytraeiden. Abhandlungen aus dem Gebiete der Naturwissenschaften, herausgegeben vom Naturwissenschaftlichen Verein in Hamburg, 11: 1–59.

Michaelsen, W. 1900. Oligochaeta. 563 pp. R. Friedländer, Berlin.

- Nielsen, C.O. & Christensen, B. 1959. The Enchytraeidae. Critical revision and taxonomy of European species (Studies on Enchytraeidae VII). *Natura Jutlandica*, 8–9: 1–160.
- Nielsen, C.O. & Christensen, B. 1961. The Enchytraeidae. Critical revision and taxonomy of European species. Supplement 1. *Natura Jutlandica*, 10: 1–23.
- Nielsen, C.O. & Christensen, B. 1963. The Enchytraeidae. Critical revision and taxonomy of European species. Supplement 2. *Natura Jutlandica*, 10: 1–19.
- Nurminen, M. 1973. Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) from the vicinity of Montreal. Annales Zoologici Fennici, 10: 399–402.
- Peachey, J.E. 1963. Studies on Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) of moorland soil. *Pedobiologia*, 2: 81–95.

- Pfeffer, G. 1890. Die niedere Thierwelt des antarktischen Ufergebietes. In G. Neumayer (Ed.), Pp. 455–574 in: Die internationale Polarforschung 1882–1883. Die Deutschen Expeditionen und ihre Ergebnisse. Band II. Beschreibende Naturwissenschaften in einzelnen Abhandlungen, Hamburg.
- Piguet, E. & Bretscher, K. 1913. Catalogue des invertébrés de la Suisse. Fasc. 7. Oligochètes. 215 pp. Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle de Genève, Genève.
- Piper, S.R., MacLean, S.F. & Christensen, B. 1982. Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) from taiga and tundra habitats of northeastern U.S.S.R. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 60: 2594–2609.
- Römbke, J. & Beck, L. 2012. Ecotoxicological evaluation of selected forest plots in Baden-Württemberg (Germany): Influence of emissions of potential toxic substances from a highway on oligochaetes. Landbauforschung – vTI Agricultural and Forestry Research. Special Issue, 357 (Newsletter on Enchytraeidae, no. 12): 27–38.
- Salminen, J. & Haimi, J. 2001. Life history and spatial distribution of the enchytraeid worm *Cognettia sphagnetorum* (Oligochaeta) in metal-polluted soil: Below-ground sink-source population dynamics? *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, **20**: 1993–1999.
- Schmelz, R.M. & Collado R. 2010. A guide to European terrestrial and freshwater species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). Soil Organisms, 82: 1–176.
- Sterling, S. 1908. Das Blutgefäßsystem der Oligochäten. Embryologische und histologische Untersuchungen. Jenaische Zeitschrift für Naturwissenschaft, 44: 253–352.
- Uhía, E. & Briones, M.J.I. 2002. Population dynamics and vertical distribution of enchytraeids and tardigrades in response to deforestation. *Acta Oecologica*, 23: 349–359.
- Vejdovský, F. 1878. Zur Anatomie und Systematik der Enchytraeiden. Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Böhmischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, 1877(5): 294–304.
- Vejdovský, F. 1879. Beiträge zur vergleichenden Morphologie der Anneliden. I. Monographie der Enchytraeiden. XIV Pl., 61 pp. Verlag von Friedrich Tempsky, Prag.
- Welch, P.S. 1920. The genera of the Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). Transactions of the American Microscopical Society, 39: 25–50.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 72: 108.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the *Bulletin*; they should be sent to I.C.Z.N., Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: iczn@nhm.ac.uk).

192